Pre-exposure Prophylaxis in Primary Care– A New Era in HIV Prevention

Andrew E. Petroll, MD, MS; Rose A. Staden, APNP; Ryan P. Westergaard, MD, PhD

re-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is a powerful new prevention tool for those at substantial risk of acquiring HIV and can be used in combination with other HIV prevention methods. The antiretroviral single tablet combination containing emtricitabine and tenofovir (Truvada, Gilead Sciences Inc, Foster City, California) was approved by the Food and Drug Administration for this use in 2012 and formally recommended by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in May of 2014.1 When taken as prescribed, PrEP provides 92% to 99% reduction in risk of acquiring HIV,² but it represents a significant paradigm shift in HIV prevention-the first time that a medication has been used to prevent HIV prior to exposure.

Because PrEP requires a prescription for Truvada, this new HIV prevention strategy lies squarely within the bounds of clinicians, and in most cases, beyond the scope of public health departments and community organizations that have traditionally worked to advance HIV prevention. While these groups can raise aware-

• • •

Author Affiliations: Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee (Petroll); University of Wisconsin HIV/AIDS Comprehensive Care Program, Madison (Staden); Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison (Westergaard).

Corresponding Author: Ryan P. Westergaard, MD, PhD, MPH, 1685 Highland Ave, MFCB 5220, Madison, WI 53705; phone 608.265.7927; fax 608.263.4424; e-mail rpw@medicine.wisc.edu. ness and generate interest in the use of PrEP, its prescription will depend on clinicians.

Unfortunately, many clinicians are unfamiliar with PrEP and unaware of the recent CDC recommendation that all individuals at high risk of HIV should consider daily use of Truvada. To reach its full potential as an HIV prevention tool, clinicians must be aware of PrEP, be comfortable discussing it with patients, and either prescribe it or know where to refer interested patients. PrEP should be viewed as and offered like any other preventive health care service for high-risk patients.

Background

There are more than 8000 individuals estimated to be living with HIV in Wisconsin. On average, approximately 250 Wisconsin residents are diagnosed with HIV each year, a number that has remained steady for the last decade.³ At the same time, the prevalence of HIV in African American men who have sex with men in Milwaukee is a staggering 32%, and the number of new diagnoses in young black men who have sex with men nearly tripled from 2004 to 2013. More than half of African American men who have sex with men newly diagnosed with HIV in 2014 were younger than 25 years old. HIV also continues to disproportionately affect gay and bisexual men of all races.

While it is estimated that conventional HIV prevention methods—such as behavioral risk reduction interventions, condom distribution, and HIV testing and counseling—have prevented millions of cases of HIV since the beginning of the epidemic, the unwavering rates of new infections both nationally and in Wisconsin suggest that additional strategies are needed. Recent research highlights the limitations of condom use as a primary HIV prevention strategy. CDC researchers retrospectively analyzed condom use and HIV infection from 2 different studies and found that among all men having anal sex, condoms were 70% effective for preventing HIV transmission with typical use.^{4,5} The researchers also found that condom use was difficult to maintain over the long term, with just 16% of participants reporting 100% condom use with any anal sex over the 3-year period.

Safety and Efficacy of PrEP

Several large, international, randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that PrEP resulted in significant reductions in HIV incidence among men who have sex with men;6 high-risk heterosexual adults;7 including HIV serodiscordant couples;8 and people who inject drugs.9 A key lesson learned from these trials-and several others that failed to demonstrate effectiveness-is that, as with any prevention method, PrEP is highly dependent on adherence in order to effectively lower the risk of HIV acquisition. Compared to other HIV prevention strategies, daily oral chemoprophylaxis has several unique advantages. Condoms and other barrier protection methods require both that users anticipate sexual activity by having condoms readily available and that they successfully and properly use them. Reliance on individuals' ability to carry out condom use during sexual encounters demonstrates one of the weaknesses of this prevention method, as individuals may be distracted from a disease prevention mindset due to the aroused state created by sexual engagement. In addition, many individuals engage in sexual encounters while under the influence of alcohol or drugs, further reducing the likelihood of successful condom use. By contrast, successful use of PrEP is accomplished by taking one pill on a routine basis, outside of the emotionally charged atmosphere of a sexual encounter.

Implementation of PrEP

For individuals to realize the potential benefits of PrEP, 3 elements are required: (1) awareness of PrEP by individuals at risk for HIV infection; (2) awareness of PrEP by clinicians; and (3) familiarity among clinicians with prescribing PrEP or knowledge of where to refer patients for PrEP. Health care professionals need to be part of each of these steps so that they can raise awareness in patients who they feel could benefit from PrEP, but may be unaware of it, or to respond to individuals who themselves are requesting PrEP and are looking for a prescriber.

During the past 3 years, we began implementing PrEP within our 2 academic Infectious Disease/HIV clinics. As the largest providers of HIV-related care in our regions, we have seen a steady increase of patients seeking PrEP, but have been disturbed by the difficulty that many patients have had in finding our respective clinics. Many patients describe asking their primary care clinicians about PrEP, only to have been met with reactions that include discouragement, indifference, or lack of awareness. When they have been successfully linked to a PrEP provider, it has much more often been a result of their own initiative and persistence, rather than at the recommendation of their clinician.

Our experience suggests that a combination of low awareness of PrEP among clinicians and at-risk individuals has resulted in extremely low uptake in Wisconsin. We estimate that only approximately 100 individuals are currently taking PrEP in Wisconsin,⁹ while estimates from other states in our region, Tennessee, and Ohio, range from 1000 to 2000 individuals.¹⁰ In Wisconsin as in the United States overall, men who have sex with men, particularly African American men, experience extremely disproportionate HIV incidence and prevalence.³ However, not every member of these demographic groups is at an elevated risk of HIV infection, and identifying those who could benefit most from PrEP requires knowledge of each patient's risk factors. Prior research indicates that most clinicians do not routinely ask about same-sex behaviors, and patients often do not disclose their sexual orientation without being asked.¹¹⁻¹³ Thus, identifying individuals appropriate for PrEP requires discussion of sexual and other HIV risk factors. While having such conversations may represent a change in practice for some clinicians, the potential benefits of PrEP when targeted to patients at high risk for HIV are large. (See Table for summary quide for PrEP use combining our clinics' experience and CDC guidelines.)

Concerns

Concerns have been raised about the cost of Truvada, which is more than \$1300 per month.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ However, when used as PrEP, Truvada is not necessarily intended to be taken for a lifetime, as is the costlier combination antiretroviral treatment for established HIV infection. Modeling studies suggest that the costs associated with PrEP are in line with other common preventive health measures when delivered to appropriate populations, and the required lab monitoring is relatively inexpensive when compared to the monitoring required for those who are HIV positive and living near normal life expectancies on antiretrovirals.

In general, insurance programs cover the cost of Truvada as PrEP, and patient assistance programs are available for many individuals with high copays or who are underinsured. In our experience, however, many patients seeking PrEP were unaware that they were eligible for government-sponsored insurance or subsidies for commercial health insurance, and there remain individuals who are ineligible for or unable to obtain health insurance. Thus, further action, such as Medicaid expansion or development of other funding mechanisms, is needed to provide PrEP to those at highest risk.

Some public health experts have raised concerns that PrEP will encourage unsafe sexual practices, including less condom use and therefore higher rates of sexually transmitted infections (STI). However, in our experience, some individuals seeking PrEP already have very poor condom use, which in some cases has actually improved after initiating PrEP. Other patients have consistent condom use, but are seeking additional protection. Further, the consequences of most STIs pale in comparison to HIV-both for individuals as well as the health care system-and once started on PrEP, patients are more engaged in the health care system, which creates opportunity for ongoing counseling regarding sexual health, STI screening, and HIV testing.

Sexually Transmitted Infections/ Sexual Health

PrEP should be implemented as a comprehensive HIV prevention strategy and should be used as an opportunity to promote sexual health and wellness, including complete STI testing. Despite CDC recommendations that sexually active gay and bisexual men be screened annually at all sites at risk for infection, we found low implementation of this testing and high rates of infection among patients seeking PrEP, prior to being seen in our clinics. Most of these patients were not aware of recommendations for testing of extra-genital sites and had only had urethral screening in the past. This is especially concerning because STIs are a known risk factor for HIV acquisition.

Since implementing PrEP in our clinics, we have found an alarming number of extra-genital STIs (rectum and pharynx) at initial clinic visits, the majority of which were asymptomatic. Notably, we have found no urethral infections through nucleic acid amplification testing of urine specimens, which is the approach to screening most widely adopted in primary care settings.

Conclusion

The need for improved HIV prevention measures is clear. PrEP provides an additional, safe and effective measure for those at highest risk. Unlike other prevention measures, PrEP

Men Who Have Sex With Men	Heterosexual Women and Men	People Who Inject Drugs
Det	ecting Substantial Risk of Acquiring I	HV Infection
Sexual partner with HIV	Sexual partner with HIV	HIV-positive injecting partner
Recent bacterial STI	Recent bacterial STI	Sharing injection equipment
High number of sex partners	High number of sex partners	Recent drug treatment (but currently
History of inconsistent	History of inconsistent	injecting)
or no condom use	or no condom use	
Commercial sex work	Commercial sex work	
	Lives in high-prevalence area	
	or network	
	Clinically Eligible	
Documented negative HIV test to No signs/symptoms of acute HIV	infection	
No signs/symptoms of acute HIV		ns
No signs/symptoms of acute HIV Normal renal function (serum cr	infection eatinine), no contraindicated medicatio Prescription	ns
No signs/symptoms of acute HIV Normal renal function (serum cro Daily, continuing, oral doses of	infection eatinine), no contraindicated medicatio Prescription DF/FTC (Truvada), ≤90 day supply	ns n adherence counseling, behavioral risk
No signs/symptoms of acute HIV Normal renal function (serum cro Daily, continuing, oral doses of [*] Follow-up visits at least every 3	infection eatinine), no contraindicated medicatio Prescription DF/FTC (Truvada), ≤90 day supply	
No signs/symptoms of acute HIV Normal renal function (serum cro Daily, continuing, oral doses of Follow-up visits at least every 3	infection eatinine), no contraindicated medication Prescription DF/FTC (Truvada), ≤90 day supply months to provide: HIV test, medication sessment, STI symptom assessment	
No signs/symptoms of acute HIV Normal renal function (serum cre Daily, continuing, oral doses of Follow-up visits at least every 3 reduction support, side effect as	infection eatinine), no contraindicated medication Prescription DF/FTC (Truvada), ≤90 day supply months to provide: HIV test, medication sessment, STI symptom assessment after, assess renal function	
No signs/symptoms of acute HIV Normal renal function (serum cre Daily, continuing, oral doses of Follow-up visits at least every 3 reduction support, side effect as At 3 months and every 6 months Every 6 months test for bacteria	infection eatinine), no contraindicated medication Prescription DF/FTC (Truvada), ≤90 day supply months to provide: HIV test, medication sessment, STI symptom assessment after, assess renal function	n adherence counseling, behavioral risk
No signs/symptoms of acute HIV Normal renal function (serum cre Daily, continuing, oral doses of Follow-up visits at least every 3 reduction support, side effect as At 3 months and every 6 months Every 6 months test for bacteria	infection eatinine), no contraindicated medication Prescription DF/FTC (Truvada), ≤90 day supply months to provide: HIV test, medication sessment, STI symptom assessment after, assess renal function I STIs	n adherence counseling, behavioral risk
No signs/symptoms of acute HIV Normal renal function (serum cre Daily, continuing, oral doses of Follow-up visits at least every 3 reduction support, side effect as At 3 months and every 6 months Every 6 months test for bacteria	infection eatinine), no contraindicated medicatio Prescription DF/FTC (Truvada), ≤90 day supply months to provide: HIV test, medicatio sessment, STI symptom assessment s after, assess renal function STIs tion and vaccinate non-immune individ	n adherence counseling, behavioral risk

requires an encounter with a clinician, thereby providing an opportunity to optimize health for populations that may not otherwise see a need to access the health care system. Assessments for PrEP need to start in primary care and implementation should begin with support from infectious disease and HIV experts, with the eventual goal of implementation in primary care as clinician comfort grows, just like any other preventive health care service. PrEP provides a unique opportunity for health care professionals to make an impact on reducing the unacceptably high rate of new HIV diagnoses and the intolerable racial disparities that affect the people of Wisconsin.

Funding/Support: Funding for this project was provided by the Wisconsin Partnership Program of the University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health. Dr Westergaard receives support from NIH grant K23DA032306.

Financial Disclosures: Dr Petroll reports grants from Gilead Sciences for clinical trials outside the submitted work.

REFERENCES

1. US Public Health Service. Preexposure Prophylaxis for the Prevention of HIV Infection in the United States–2014. A Clinical Practice Guideline. http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/ PrEPguidelines2014.pdf. Accessed January 19, 2016.

 Anderson PL, Glidden DV, Liu A, et al. Emtricitabinetenofovir concentrations and pre-exposure prophylaxis efficacy in men who have sex with men. *Sci Transl Med.* 2012;4(151):151ra125.

3. Wisconsin Department of Health Services. Division of Public Health. Wisconsin AIDS/HIV Surveillance Annual Review: New Diagnoses, Prevalent Cases and Deaths Reported through December 31, 2014. http://www.dhs. wisconsin.gov/publications/p0/p00484.pdf. Accessed January 19, 2016.

4. Bernstein KT, Liu KL, Begier EM, Koblin B, Karpati A, Murrill C. Same-sex attraction disclosure to health care providers among New York City men who have sex with men: implications for HIV testing approaches. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168(13):1458-1464.

5. Smith DK, Herbst JH, Zhang X, Rose CE. Condom effectiveness for HIV prevention by consistency of use among men who have sex with men in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. Mar 1 2015;68(3):337-344.

6. Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, et al. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. *N Engl J Med.* Dec 30 2010;363(27):2587-2599.

7. Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women. *N Engl J Med.* Aug 2 2012;367(5):399-410.

8. Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, et al. Antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis for heterosexual HIV transmission in Botswana. *N Engl J Med.* Aug 2 2012;367(5):423-434.

9. Michael Gifford, President and CEO, AIDS Resource Center of Wisconsin. E-mail communication, June 2, 2015.

10. Dana Hughes, MPH, Director, Education & Community Affairs, State of Tennessee Department of Health STD/HIV Section. E-mail communication, June 2, 2015.

11. Petroll AE, Mosack KE. Physician awareness of sexual orientation and preventive health recommendations to men who have sex with men. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2011;38(1):63-67.

12. Meckler, GD, Elliott MN, Kanouse DE, Beals KP, Schuster MA. Nondisclosure of sexual orientation to a physician among a sample of gay, lesbian, and bisexual youth. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2006;160(12):1248-1254.

13. Bernstein KT, Liu KL, Begier EM, Koblin B, Karpati A, Murrill C. Same-sex attraction disclosure to health care providers among New York City men who have sex with men: implications for HIV testing approaches. *Arch Intern Med.* 2008;168(13):1458-1464.

14. Chen A, Dowdy DW. Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in men who have sex with men: risk calculators for real-world decision-making. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(10):e108742. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108742.

15. Schneider K, Gray RT, Wilson DP. A costeffectiveness analysis of HIV preexposure prophylaxis for men who have sex with men in Australia. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;58(7):1027-1034.

16. Jussola JL, Brandeau ML, Owens DK, et al. The cost-effectiveness of preexposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention in the United States in men who have sex with men. *Ann Intern Med.* 2012;156(8);541-550.



WMJ (ISSN 1098-1861) is published through a collaboration between The Medical College of Wisconsin and The University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health. The mission of *WMJ* is to provide an opportunity to publish original research, case reports, review articles, and essays about current medical and public health issues.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2016 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System and The Medical College of Wisconsin, Inc.

Visit www.wmjonline.org to learn more.