Prevalence and Predictors of Unhealthy Weight Gain in Pregnancy

Sara Lindberg, PhD, MS; Cynthia Anderson, MD, MPH; Parvathy Pillai, MD, MPH; Aman Tandias, MS; Brian Arndt, MD; Lawrence Hanrahan, PhD, MS

ABSTRACT

Importance: Weight gain during pregnancy affects obesity risk in offspring.

Objective: To assess weight gain among UW Health prenatal patients and to identify predictors of unhealthy gestational weight gain.

Methods: Retrospective cohort study of women delivering at UW Health during 2007-2012. Data are from the UW eHealth Public Health Information Exchange (PHINEX) project. The proportion of women with excess and insufficient (ie, unhealthy) gestational weight gain was computed based on 2009 Institute of Medicine guidelines. Multivariable logistic regression was used to identify risk factors associated with excess and insufficient gestational weight gain.

Results: Gestational weight gain of 7,385 women was analyzed. Fewer than 30% of prenatal patients gained weight in accordance with Institute of Medicine guidelines. Over 50% of women gained excess weight and 20% gained insufficient weight during pregnancy. Pre-pregnancy weight and smoking status predicted excess weight gain. Maternal age, race/ethnicity, smoking status, and having Medicaid insurance predicted insufficient weight gain.

Conclusions and Relevance: Unhealthy weight gain during pregnancy is the norm for Wisconsin women. Clinical and community interventions that promote healthy weight gain during pregnancy will not only improve the health of mothers, but also will reduce the risk of obesity in the next generation.

INTRODUCTION

Unhealthy weight gain during pregnancy creates health problems for both mother and child, including incident maternal obesity,¹ pediatric obesity,² and lifelong elevated cardiovascular and metabolic risk for mother and child.³ The health risks associated with unhealthy weight gain during pregnancy are in addition to the

• • •

Author Affiliations: Population Health Institute, Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health (UWSMPH) (Lindberg, Pillai); Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, UWSMPH, Madison, Wis (Anderson); Division of Public Health, Wisconsin Department of Health Services, Madison, Wis (Tandias); Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, UWSMPH (Arndt, Hanrahan).

Corresponding Author: Sara Lindberg, UW Population Health Institute, 610 Walnut St, Madison, WI 53726; phone: 608.262.6008; fax 608.262.6404; e-mail smlindberg@wisc.edu.

risks posed by pre-pregnancy weight status, which are detailed elsewhere.^{4,5} The current Institute of Medicine (IOM) guidelines attempt to balance the competing risks of insufficient versus excess weight gain during pregnancy and are tailored to reflect the different metabolic needs and risk profiles of women based on pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) and fetal number.³ Fewer than half of pregnant women in the United States currently achieve a healthy gestational weight gain within IOM recommendations.^{3,6}

The social ecological model provides a framework for understanding why so few women gain weight within IOM guidelines. It emphasizes that health outcomes are not solely the result of individual characteristics and behaviors, but also the various environments in which people work, live, play, and develop.⁷ The model has been employed

extensively and successfully in obesity prevention and health promotion research, yet individual- and community-level determinants of gestational weight gain are not well understood. Previous research suggests that gestational weight gain is patterned on demographic characteristics such as race and education.⁸⁻¹⁰ A few studies have examined associations with individual-level psychological factors such as stress,^{11,12} and behavioral factors such as diet and exercise.^{13,14} However, little attention has been paid to environmental contexts that promote or inhibit healthy gestational weight gain. This study addresses this gap by identifying predictors of gestational weight gain across multiple levels of the social ecological model.

The purpose of this study was to use electronic health record data to evaluate the current prevalence of insufficient and excess (ie, unhealthy) gestational weight gain among pregnant women who received care in a large, statewide health system in the Midwest during 2007-2012. The study also connected clinical data about pregnancy-related weight gain to patient-, provider-,



and community-level data to describe multiple determinants that contribute to risk for insufficient and excess gestational weight gain.

METHODS

Data Source—Data are from the UW eHealth Public Health Information Exchange (PHINEX), which combines patient- and clinic-level data from UW Health electronic health records with community-level data from commercially available datasets, eg, US Census data. The resulting dataset contains information on over 11 million encounters with more than 500,000 patients during 2007-2012. The study was reviewed and approved by the University of Wisconsin–Madison Health Sciences Institutional Review Board.

Participants—Billing data identified 15,275 deliveries to 12,518 patients. Participants were excluded from this analysis if the patient was a minor, if they had no recorded pre-pregnancy BMI, or if they had no recorded pregnancy weights (Figure 1). Our final analytic sample comprised 7,385 deliveries to 6,527 patients. Sample characteristics are in Table 1.

Measures—The primary outcome—gestational weight gain—was calculated as the last weight prior to delivery (within 8 weeks

of delivery) minus the pre-pregnancy weight. If pre-pregnancy weight was missing, it was imputed from the most recent ambulatory visit up to 12 months prior to the patient's last menstrual period (so long as the weight did not overlap with a previous pregnancy). Gestational weight gain was classified as insufficient, ideal, or excess based on the patient's pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational number, and IOM guidelines.³

Independent variables included age, race/ethnicity, pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational number, smoking status, payor, neighborhood median income, neighborhood economic hardship index, and neighborhood urbanicity. Age was defined as the patient's age at delivery. Race/ethnicity was classified as non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Hispanic, or non-Hispanic other. Pre-pregnancy BMI was classified as underweight (BMI < 18.5), normal weight (BMI 18.5-24.9), overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9), Class I obesity (BMI 30.0-34.9), Class II obesity (BMI 35.0-39.9), or Class III obesity (BMI > 40.0). Smoking status was classified as never, current, former, or passive. Payor was classified as commercial, Medicaid/Medicare, or no insurance. Neighborhood median income was classified as < \$50,000, \$50,000 to \$75,000, or >\$75,000. Neighborhood economic hardship was based on an economic hardship index comprising variables such as unemployment, crowded housing, percentage of households below the poverty line, and percentage of households with less than a high school education.¹⁵ For the purpose of this analysis, neighborhood economic hardship was classified as low hardship (Index < 20), moderate hardship (Index 20-30), or high hardship (Index >30). Neighborhood urbanicity was classified as urban, suburban, or rural using the Esri Tapestry classification method for Census Block Groups.¹⁶

Data Analyses

The proportion of patients with insufficient, ideal, and excess gestational weight gain was computed. Then multivariable logistic regression analyses were conducted to identify predictors of insufficient and excess gestational weight gain. Separate regression models were run predicting insufficient gestational weight gain and excess gestational weight gain. Each regression model used a stepwise forward selection procedure based on Fischer's scoring optimization to select from our candidate predictors all that independently predicted gestational weight gain.¹⁷

RESULTS

Approximately half of the 7,385 deliveries between 2007 and 2012 were to women who were overweight or obese at the time of conception (Table 1). Pre-pregnancy BMIs ranged from 14.7 to 82.1. Less than half (48.1%) of the sample was normal weight at conception, with 2.0% underweight, 26.5% overweight, 13.2% Class I obese, 5.8% Class II obese, and 4.3% Class III obese.

Mean gestational weight gain was 30.5 pounds (SD = 13.7), but there was substantial variation (range: 52 pounds lost to 135

pounds gained). Gestational weight gain varied by pre-pregnancy BMI, such that patients who began pregnancy at lower BMIs tended to gain more weight than those who began pregnancy at higher BMIs (Table 2). Overall, 19.8% of the sample gained insufficient weight, 29.5% gained ideal weight, and 50.8% gained excess weight during pregnancy. The prevalence of insufficient, ideal, and excess weight differed significantly by pre-pregnancy BMI, P < .0001. Based on the more restrictive guidelines for overweight and obese women, these groups actually were more likely to exceed target weight gain recommendations (Figure 2) despite having gained less weight on average than those who were underweight or normal weight before pregnancy (Table 2). Overweight and obese women were particularly likely to gain excess weight, with 65.5% of overweight women and 65.6% of Class I obese women gaining excess weight, compared to 50.8% of Class II obese, 42.8% of Class III obese, 40.2% of normal weight, and 22.2% of underweight women gaining excess weight, respectively. In contrast, women at either extreme of BMI were most likely to gain insufficient weight, with 36.9% of underweight women and 37.5% of Class III obese women gaining insufficient weight, compared to 22.7% of normal weight, 12.2% of overweight, 13.8% of Class I obese, and 25.5% of Class II obese women gaining insufficient weight.

Results of the multivariable logistic regression analyses are summarized in Figure 3. The effect of pre-pregnancy BMI on the risk of insufficient and excess gestational weight gain remained robust after controlling for the other predictors. In addition, age, gestational number, smoking status, payor, and neighborhood economic hardship uniquely contribute to the likelihood that a woman will gain insufficient or excess weight during pregnancy. Factors that increased risk for excess weight gain included maternal age 20-29; non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity; overweight, Class I or Class II obesity prior to pregnancy; single fetus (vs twins); past smoking; commercial insurance; and living in a neighborhood with low economic hardship. Factors that increased risk for insufficient weight gain included maternal age > 40, non-Hispanic black or other race/ethnicity, underweight or Class III obesity prior to pregnancy, multiple gestation, current smoking, Medicaid insurance, and living in a neighborhood with high economic hardship.

DISCUSSION

Our finding that 2 out of 3 obstetric patients in this regional, population-based sample gained an unhealthy amount of weight during pregnancy is consistent with other US samples.^{18,19} Predictors of excess and insufficient gestational weight gain included both individual- and community-level risk factors.

Like previous studies,^{6,19} we found that women who began pregnancy overweight and obese were at greater risk for excess gestational weight gain compared to normal weight women. A
 Table 1. Clinical, Demographic, and Community-Level Characteristics of the UW

 eHealth Public Health Information Exchange (PHINEX) Analytic Sample

Patient-Level Characteristics	N	%
Age		
18-19	196	2.7
20-24	909	12.3
25-29	2275	30.8
30-34	2671	36.2
35-39	1112	15.1
40+	222	3.0
Race/Ethnicity		
Non-Hispanic white	5758	81.8
Non-Hispanic black	423	6.0
Hispanic	367	5.2
Non-Hispanic other	491	7.0
Underweight	149	2.0
Normal weight	3549	48.1
Overweight	1959	26 5
Class I Obese	977	13.2
	121	1J.2 E 9
	431	J.0 4.2
class III Obese	320	4.3
Multiple Gestation	7005	00 7
No	/285	98.7
Yes	100	1.4
Smoking		
Never	4736	64.1
Former	2056	27.8
Current	519	7.0
Passive	73	1.0
Payor		
Commercial	5930	80.3
Medicare/Medicaid	1388	18.8
No Insurance	67	0.9
Community-L	evel Characteristic	s
Median Income		
<\$50,000	1275	18.1
\$50,000-\$75,000	3598	51.0
>\$75,000	2179	30.9
Economic Hardship		
Low	507	7.2
Moderate	5971	84.7
High	576	8.2
Urbanicity		
Urban	2370	33.6
Suburban	3669	52.0
Pural	1013	14 4
Nurur	1015	14.4

novel contribution of this study is that our large sample allowed us to disaggregate risk estimates by obesity severity. Women who began pregnancy with Class II or III obesity had lower risk for excess weight gain than with Class I obesity. This trend suggests that providers and patients may already be attempting to manage weight gain in response to severe obesity, and perhaps these women were being advised to gain less than guidelines, as some new evidence supports.²⁰

	n	Minimum	Maximum	Mean ± SD	Guideline ³
Underweight	149	5.0	90.0	33.0 ± 11.7	28-40
Normal weight	3549	-14.5	130.5	33.4 ± 11.7	25-35
Overweight	1959	-17.0	134.5	31.2 ± 14.4	15-25
Obese Class I	977	-34.0	132.4	26.7 ± 15.9	11-20
Obese Class II	431	-52.0	79.2	21.1 ± 16.9	11-20
Obese Class III	320	-29.4	86.2	17.6 ± 17.6	11-20
Overall	7385	-52.0	134.5	30.5 ± 13.7	



Previous research on the link between smoking and gestational weight gain has been inconsistent.^{9,12,19} Our findings clarify that former smokers are at increased risk for excess gestational weight gain and current smokers are at increased risk for insufficient weight gain, relative to people who have never smoked.

Racial/ethnic disparities in patterns of gestational weight gain have been demonstrated previously, but with different patterns in different communities.^{21,22} In this region, ethnic minority women are at elevated risk for insufficient weight gain rather than excess weight gain. This finding may partially explain regional disparities in preterm birth and adverse birth outcomes.²³

Our findings regarding risk patterns across age groups likely reflect the strong association between maternal age and parity. Women over age 40 are at greater risk for insufficient weight gain than younger women, whereas women ages 20-29 had greater risk for excess weight gain. This trend is consistent with evidence that women gain more weight with their first baby and less for each subsequent pregnancy.¹⁸

Most previous studies examining predictors of gestational weight gain were limited to singleton pregnancies. A novel finding of this study is that that women carrying a single fetus were more likely to gain excess weight but less likely to gain insufficient weight than those carrying twins. One possible explanation is that women carrying multiples may be receiving more or better guidance about nutrition and weight gain in pregnancy. Nonetheless, the elevated risk for insufficient weight gain among twin pregnancies is notable, given that insufficient weight gain has been associated with shorter gestations and lower twin birth weights.³

Previous studies were inconsistent about the link between socioeconomic status and gestational weight gain.^{8,10,13} In this study, we found that both individuallevel and neighborhood-level economic indicators independently predicted weight gain, even after controlling for race, prepregnancy BMI, and other confounding factors. Specifically, low socioeconomic status increased risk for insufficient weight gain, whereas high socioeconomic status increased risk for excess weight gain.

These findings should be considered in light of some limitations, which suggest questions for further research. First, the volume of missing data in this sample may raise concerns about selection bias. However, a recent validation study in the same sample showed obesity risk gradients

similar to National Health and Nutrition Examination Study (NHANES), a national gold standard.²⁴ A second limitation is the racial homogeneity of this sample, with a large majority consisting of non-Hispanic white patients. We are confident that these data are representative of the health system and the region, but some findings may not generalize to other populations in other regions or other countries with greater diversity. Moreover, these limitations are outweighed by the many assets of this data source, eg, the inclusion of community-level data, the substantial sample size, and the utility of electronic health record data for surveillance of this large, population-based, low-risk cohort.

This study demonstrates that clinical, sociodemographic, and community-level factors converge to predict weight gain during pregnancy. Combined with the high prevalence of unhealthy weight gain during pregnancy, this suggests the need for multilevel, multicomponent intervention strategies, including both clinical and community strategies to promote healthy weight gain in women,²⁵⁻²⁷ thereby curbing obesity risk of the next generation.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank the entire research team, especially research assistants Alexa DeBoth and Rebecca Raj for their contributions.

Figure 3. Adjusted Odds of Unhealthy Gestational Weight Gain (ie, Above or Below Guidelines), Based on Multivariable Regression Analyses

Predictor		Below Guideline OR (95% CI)	E	Below Guideline Forest Plot	Above Guideline OR (95% CI)	Above Guideline Forest Plot
Age						
(Ref: 30-34)	18-19	1.26 (0.87-1.81)	n	+	1.03 (0.74-1.45)	*
	20-24	1.04 (0.85-1.29)	н	н	1.20 (1.01-1.44)	6 4
	25-29	0.94 (0.81-1.10)		a	1.25 (1.10-1.42)	4 1
	35-39	1.15 (0.95-1.39)		● -I	0.85 (0.73-0.99)	•
	40+	2.01 (1.46-2.76)		⊢ ♦—-1	0.70 (0.52-0.94)	+
Race						
(Ref. White)	Black	1.92 (1.49-2.47)			0.65 (0.51-0.82)	4 1
	Hispanic	1.30 (0.99-1.71)		- 4 -1	0.65 (0.51-0.82)	4 1
	Other	1.68 (1.34-2.10)		H H H	0.50 (0.40-0.62)	♦ 1
Body Mass Index						
(Ref. Normal)	Underweight	1.79 (1.23-2.61)		⊢	0.50 (0.33-0.76)	10
	Overweight	0.42 (0.36-0.50)	+		3.00 (2.65-3.40)	+ + -
	Obese I	0.48 (0.39-0.59)	÷.		3.04 (2.59-3.57)	⊢ •−1
	Obese II	1.02 (0.80-1.32)	н	н	1.61 (1.29-1.99)	HO-
	Obese III	1.71 (1.32-2.22)		H 4	1.22 (0.95-1.56)	6 -1
Gestational Number						
(Ref: Twins)	Singleton	0.27 (0.17-0.41)	4		3.41 (2.08-5.56)	
Smoking						
(Ref: Never)	Current	1.80 (1.43-2.27)		H 4 -1	0.65 (0.53-0.81)	
	Former	0.86 (0.74-1.00)			1.48 (1.31-1.66)	101
Payor						
(Ref. Commercial)	Medicaid	1.39 (1.16-1.66)		нөн	0.84 (0.72-0.98)	•
Economic Hardship						
(Ref. Moderate)	High	1.28 (1.03-1.59)		+♦ -1	0.74 (0.59-0.93)	*
	Low	0.88 (0.68-1.13)	- He	4	1.32 (1.08-1.62)	
				L., ,)	
			0 1	1 2 3 4	1	0 1 2 3 4 5
Idface text den	otes <i>P</i> < .05.					

Funding/Support: The UW e-Health Public Health Information Exchange Project was made possible with funding from the Wisconsin Division of Public Health through federal (Medicaid Transformation, CDC–Preparedness, Environmental Tracking, Infrastructure Improvement) and private grants (Robert Wood Johnson Foundation–Infolinks, Common Ground). Additional funding for this study was provided by the University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health through the Wisconsin Partnership Program, as well as grants K12HD055894 and T32HD049302 from the Eunice Kennedy Schriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official positions of the funding organizations.

Financial Disclosures: None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Mamun AA, Kinarivala M, O'Callaghan MJ, Williams GM, Najman JM, Callaway LK. Associations of excess weight gain during pregnancy with long-term maternal overweight and obesity: evidence from 21 y postpartum follow-up. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2010;91(5):1336-1341.

 Mamun AA, Mannan M, Doi SA. Gestational weight gain in relation to offspring obesity over the life course: a systematic review and bias-adjusted meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* 2014;15(4):338-347.

3. Institute of Medicine. Weight gain during pregnancy: reexamining the guidelines. Washington D.C.: National Academies Press;2009.

4. Gregor L, Remington P, Lindberg S, Ehrenthal D. Prevalence of Pre-pregnancy Obesity, 2011-2014. *WMJ.* 2016;115(5):228-232.

5. Zeal C, Remington P, Ndiaye M, Stewart K, Stattelman-Scanlan D. The epidemiology of maternal overweight in Dane County, Wisconsin. *WMJ*. 2014;113(1):24-27.

6. Chu SY, Callaghan WM, Bish CL, D'Angelo D. Gestational weight gain by body mass index among US women delivering live births, 2004-2005: fueling future obesity. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2009;200(3):271 e271-277.

7. Davison KK, Birch LL. Childhood overweight: a contextual model and recommendations for future research. *Obes Rev.* 2001;2(3):159-171.

8. Chasan-Taber L, Schmidt MD, Pekow P, Sternfeld B, Solomon CG, Markenson G. Predictors of excessive and inadequate gestational weight gain in Hispanic women. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2008;16(7):1657-1666.

9. Brawarsky P, Stotland NE, Jackson RA, et al. Prepregnancy and pregnancy-related factors and the risk of excessive or inadequate gestational weight gain. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2005;91(2):125-131.

10. Holowko N, Mishra G, Koupil I. Social inequality in excessive gestational weight gain. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2014;38(1):91-96.

11. Webb JB, Siega-Riz AM, Dole N. Psychosocial determinants of adequacy of gestational weight gain. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2009;17(2):300-309.

12. Wells CS, Schwalberg R, Noonan G, Gabor V. Factors influencing inadequate and excessive weight gain in pregnancy: Colorado, 2000-2002. *Matern Child Health J.* 2006;10(1):55-62.

13. Olson CM, Strawderman MS. Modifiable behavioral factors in a biopsychosocial model predict inadequate and excessive gestational weight gain. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2003;103(1):48-54.

14. Stuebe AM, Oken E, Gillman MW. Associations of diet and physical activity during pregnancy with risk for excessive gestational weight gain. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2009;201(1):58 e51-58.

15. Montiel LM, Nathan RP, Wright DJ. An Update on Urban Hardship. Albany, NY: Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government;2004.

16. ESRI. Tapestry Segmentation: Reference Guide. Vol 12. Redlands, CA: ESRI; 2012.

17. Katz MH. Multivariable analysis: a primer for readers of medical research. *Ann Intern Med.* 2003;138(8):644-650.

18. Chin JR, Krause KM, Ostbye T, Chowdhury N, Lovelady CA, Swamy GK. Gestational weight gain in consecutive pregnancies. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;203(3):279 e271-276.

19. Weisman CS, Hillemeier MM, Downs DS, Chuang CH, Dyer AM. Preconception predictors of weight gain during pregnancy: prospective findings from the Central Pennsylvania Women's Health Study. *Womens Health Issues.* 2010;20(2):126-132.

20. Kominiarek MA, Seligman NS, Dolin C, et al. Gestational weight gain and obesity: is 20 pounds too much? *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;209(3):214 e211-211.

21. Bowers K, Laughon SK, Kiely M, Brite J, Chen Z, Zhang C. Gestational diabetes, pre-pregnancy obesity and pregnancy weight gain in relation to excess fetal growth: variations by race/ethnicity. *Diabetologia*. 2013;56(6):1263-1271.

22. Headen IE, Davis EM, Mujahid MS, Abrams B. Racial-ethnic differences in pregnancyrelated weight. *Adv Nutr.* 2012;3(1):83-94.

23. Race to Equity Project Team. Wisconsin Council on Children and Families. Race to equity: a baseline report on the state of racial disparities in Dane County. Madison, WI2013.

24. Flood TL, Zhao YQ, Tomayko EJ, Tandias A, Carrel AL, Hanrahan LP. Electronic health records and community health surveillance of childhood obesity. *Am J Prev Med.* 2015;48(2):234-240.

25. Institute of Medicine and National Research Council. Promoting Appropriate Maternal Weight During and After Pregnancy. Influence of Pregnancy Weight on Maternal and Child Health: Workshop Report. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2007.

26. Lindberg SM, Anderson CK. Improving gestational weight gain counseling through meaningful use of an electronic medical record. *Matern Child Health J.* 2014;18(9):2188-2194.

27. Wisconsin Association for Perinatal Care, Perinatal Weight Management Work Group. The Perinatal Weight Management Bundle. 2013. http://www.perinatalweb.org/majorinitiatives/weight-management-pregnancy-and-postpartum/resources. Accessed Sept 29, 2016.



WMJ (ISSN 1098-1861) is published through a collaboration between The Medical College of Wisconsin and The University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health. The mission of *WMJ* is to provide an opportunity to publish original research, case reports, review articles, and essays about current medical and public health issues.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2016 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System and The Medical College of Wisconsin, Inc.

Visit www.wmjonline.org to learn more.