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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Trauma is the number 1 cause of death among children. Shorter distance to definitive trauma care has been correlated with better clinical outcomes. There are only a small number of pediatric trauma centers (PTC) designated by the American College of Surgeons, and the resources available to treat injured children at non-PTCs are limited. To guide resource allocation and advocacy efforts for pediatric trauma care in Wisconsin, we determined the precise distance to trauma centers for all children living in the state.

Methods: The 2010 US Census data was used to determine ZIP-centroid geolocation. The Wisconsin Department of Health Services trauma classification database was used to identify trauma facilities in Wisconsin. SAS routines invoking the Google Maps application programming interface were used to calculate the driving distance to each of the trauma facilities. We quantified the percentage of children living within 30- and 60-minute driving distances of level I-IV trauma centers.

Results: Just 31.3% of Wisconsin children live within a 30-minute drive of a level I PTC; 32.7% live within 30 minutes of a level II center; 81.3% within 30 minutes of a level III center; and 74.6% within 30 minutes of a level IV center.

Conclusions: Two-thirds of children in Wisconsin live beyond a 30-minute driving distance of a level I PTC, but most children live within 30 minutes of level III and IV trauma centers. As the closest hospitals for most children, smaller trauma centers should be adequately resourced to provide pediatric trauma care.

Wisconsin has 2 large trauma centers located in its 2 largest cities, both of which provide ACS-verified level I care to children and adults, and 1 ACS-verified level II PTC. There are an additional 7 ACS-verified level II adult trauma centers that treat pediatric patients but are not ACS-verified for pediatric trauma, as well as 44 state-designated level III hospitals, 53 level IV hospitals, and 15 hospitals that have elected not to seek trauma center designation. Currently, the Wisconsin Department of Health Services does not have specific criteria for level III and IV hospitals regarding the care of pediatric trauma patients; pediatric-specific training and equipment at these centers are not mandated to achieve certification. The current Wisconsin trauma standards for levels
III and IV centers are based on standards in the 1999 edition of the ACS Resources for the Optimal Care of the Injured Patient. Although studies have investigated the effect of distance to trauma centers on clinical outcomes for injured patients, there is limited data on relative locations of trauma centers and the populations they serve, and few methods exist for combining population and hospital data. The availability of the Google Maps application programming interface (API) has made the driving distance and time analysis more dynamic and accessible.

The goal of this study was to characterize the precise distance to travel to different levels of trauma care for children in Wisconsin by combining granular census data that identifies pediatric population density in each ZIP code with point-to-point calculators of driving time from ZIP code centroids to specific hospital addresses.

**METHODS**

**Data Source**

This study used publicly available data sources. “Turn-by-turn” driving distances and times were developed using a combination of geographical information systems and data-management tools. The US Census Bureau, Census 2010 Summary File 1 served as a source list for Wisconsin ZIP-centroid geolocation (latitude and longitude) for each Wisconsin ZIP code; a total of 774 ZIP codes and the pediatric population of each were identified and used in the analyses.

The Wisconsin Department of Health Services Hospital Trauma Classification Database was used to identify hospitals in Wisconsin and key characteristics associated with these facilities, including geolocation (latitude and longitude) of each facility and trauma verification status. The location of each hospital was then linked to Wisconsin ZIP codes and input into a Statistical Analysis System (SAS) macro that contacted the Google Maps API to obtain the driving distance and time from each trauma center to the centroid of each of the 774 Wisconsin ZIP codes.

The American Family Children’s Hospital trauma database for 2015-2019 was queried to determine the percentage of pediatric trauma patients that were transferred to level I pediatric trauma centers from the state’s levels II-IV trauma centers. This analysis was approved by the University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health Institutional Review Board.

**Data Analysis**

SAS v9.4 software was used for managing the datasets and batch-submitting requests to the Google Maps API. A series of routines was developed using SAS to link the Census and American Hospital Association ZIP-level data. Each of the 124 facilities was linked to each of the 774 ZIP codes in Wisconsin, resulting in a total of 95,834 distinct ZIP-facility pairs for which to determine driving distance and time. The SAS routines were then used to invoke the Google Maps API, looping through each of the ZIP-facility pairs in a distinct call to the API. The results were pooled, reformatted, and processed using the SAS routines, and the distances to each of the facilities were ranked for each ZIP code in ascending order. The resulting dataset formed the basis for all subsequent analyses. The driving distances to trauma centers of each level were assessed independently. In other words, if a child lived within 30 minutes of a level I PTC and within 60 minutes of a level II PTC, they were counted once for living within 30 minutes of a level I PTC and again for living within 60 minutes of a level II PTC. The American Family Children's Hospital trauma data was analyzed using R 3.6.1. A comparison of the injury severity score (ISS) was performed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

**RESULTS**

Two ACS-verified level I pediatric trauma centers, 1 ACS-verified level II pediatric trauma center, 9 ACS-verified level II adult trauma centers, 44 level III trauma centers, 53 level IV trauma centers, and 15 nontrauma hospitals were included in the analysis. Two of the level I adult trauma centers are in the same location as the 2 level I PTCs. There are 39 rural counties (54%) in Wisconsin, and 58 critical access hospitals (35%).

The population of children in Wisconsin and the location of the level I and II trauma centers are shown in Figure 1, and results of our analysis are summarized in Figure 2. Reflecting the predominantly rural population of the state, only 31.3% of Wisconsin children live within a 30-minute driving distance of...
one of the 2 level I PTCs, and 53.9% live within 60 minutes. As there is only 1 level II PTC, which is located in the middle of the state in a predominantly rural area, only 1.04% of children are within 30 minutes and 5.46% are within 60 minutes. Almost one-third (32.7%) of children are within 30 minutes, and 83.6% live within 60 minutes of one of the 9 adult level II centers. As the level III and IV centers are better distributed across the state, 82% of children live within 30 minutes and 93.7% lived within an hour of one of the 44 level III centers. Seventy-five percent of children lived within 30 minutes and 98.4% live within an hour of one of the 53 level IV centers (Table).

At our level I PTC, a total of 3,697 patients were admitted for trauma care during 2015-2019. Among these, 1,995 (54.0%) of them were transferred from other regional trauma centers. Out of these transfers, 110 patients were transferred from outside of Wisconsin and were excluded for the subsequent analysis as trauma center leveling criteria are not uniform across states. Out of 1,885 patients who were transferred within Wisconsin, 1 patient (0.05%) was transferred from another level I PTC, 102 patients (5.4%) were transferred from adult level II trauma centers, 714 patients (37.9%) were transferred from level III trauma centers, 811 patients (43.0%) were transferred from level IV trauma centers, and 258 patients (13.7%) were transferred from non-trauma-designated hospitals. The mean ISS for patients who were transferred to our level I PTC and those who presented directly from the scene was 7.09 (SD 8.15) and 6.95 (SD 9.78), respectively ($P=0.0079$). Among the patients treated at our facility with ISS greater than 15, 57.8% were triaged at another facility before being transferred to a level I PTC.

**DISCUSSION**

We found that about half of children in Wisconsin live further than a 60-minute drive from a level I PTC, while the vast majority of children live within 30 minutes of a state-designated level III or IV center. We also found that among the pediatric trauma patients treated at our level I PTC, the patients who were transferred from the referring centers had slightly higher ISS than the patients who presented directly from the scene. Lastly, the majority of patients transferred to our level I PTC were triaged at levels III and IV adult trauma centers before being transferred.

Level I PTCs are the highest level of pediatric trauma care and are verified according to ACS standards. ACS-certified level II centers also meet standards for pediatric-specific training and equipment. In Wisconsin, the state designates level III trauma centers as hospitals providing assessment, resuscitation, stabilization, and emergency surgery and arrangement of the transfer to a level I or II facility for definitive surgical and intensive care as necessary. A level IV trauma center is defined by the state as hospitals providing stabilization and advanced trauma life support before transferring patients to a level I or II center. These standards are based on the 1999 edition of the ACS Optimal Care for Injured Patients, and the state is currently in the process of revising the criteria for levels III and IV trauma centers to address pediatric trauma patient-specific resources.14

Although there are conflicting results among studies whether pediatric trauma patients have better clinical outcomes when treated at pediatric trauma centers versus adult trauma centers,15-21 some of these studies showed improved outcomes at pediatric trauma centers with specific equipment and training to care for pediatric patients.18-20 Our study did not focus on clinical outcomes of patients treated at a different level of trauma center, but it is worth noting that 54% of the trauma patients treated at our facility during 2015-2019 were transferred from non-PTC centers, and the majority were from level III and IV trauma centers. Furthermore, many of the severely injured patients with ISS greater than 15 were triaged at another facility before being transferred to a level I PTC. These findings support the importance of ensuring adequate pediatric trauma equipment and training in level III and IV trauma centers, which are the closest hospitals for most Wisconsin children.

The availability of publicly available application programming...
interfaces such as Google Maps has increased the accessibility of using turn-by-turn analysis to calculate more accurate driving distances. We used this approach to calculate the distance between where children live and the nearest trauma center based on the longitude and latitude associated with ZIP codes. Using the ZIP code of individual children provides more precise calculations compared to ZIP code blocks that were used in a previous study.22

One of the limitations of this study is that the driving time calculated is the average driving time for nonemergency vehicles and does not account for weather. The study also does not take into account cases where patients are transferred via air. Therefore, the percentage of children living within 30 or 60 minutes of a level I or II trauma center may be higher in cases of severe trauma. However, as air transport may not always be an option and the road conditions are not always predictable, the findings of our study still highlight the need for pediatric trauma care capability in nonpediatric trauma centers.

Another limitation of this study is the use of ZIP codes instead of census tracts as a surrogate for where Wisconsin children lived. Although the census tract is more granular than the ZIP code for patient location,23 census tracts do not allow for centroid geolocation. And ZIP code has been widely used in studies of health care access, which allows ready comparison between studies. Lastly, as pointed out by a recent study,24 there is potential discordance between the children’s residence and the location of trauma. Although injuries do not always occur near the place of residence, prior research suggests that 88% of major traumatic injuries occur within 10 miles of home.25 In our cohort, 75% of the patients were injured within 10 miles of their home.

CONCLUSION
Granular geolocation data demonstrate that two-thirds of children in Wisconsin live beyond a 30-minute driving distance to a level I PTC, but most children live within 30 minutes of levels III and IV trauma centers. As states and hospitals balance the need to provide trauma care to children at the hospitals close to their homes with the expense of pediatric-specific resources and training, our findings highlight the need for maintaining consistent and clear standards for pediatric trauma care at local trauma centers.
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